

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ess: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.nento.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/867,200	05/29/2001	Robert H. Scheer	31083.05US3	31083.05US3 5897	
34018	7590 04/19/2006	EXAMINER		·	INER
	RG TRAURIG, LLP		CUFF, MICHAEL A		
,, <u>—</u> ——	ACKER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 2500	IL 60601-1732		3627		
emerco,	11 00001-1752		DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	09/867,200	SCHEER, ROBERT H.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Cuff	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 O	ctober 2005.				
•	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 4-9 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No.			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
application from the International Bureau	•	· ·			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Jate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caveney in view of Shipman.

Caveney et al. shows, figure 12, (from column 3) a computer system and method for controlling the number of units of each of a plurality of different parts in an inventory. In a preferred embodiment, the computer system comprises a memory which stores a part data table for each part, an input device which receives a selected inventory investment constraint, a processor which retrieves the part data table for each part and determines a minimum unit replenishment quantity (part of the reorder point equation, replenishment method) and a safety unit quantity (base stock level) for each part, and an output device which outputs the minimum unit replenishment quantity and the safety unit quantity for each part. The part data table (the curves in figure 12 are derived from the part data table, the common slope or critical ratio is determined by using these curves and thus also using the forecast of demand) for each part comprises the number of units of the part in the inventory, a forecast unit demand for the part, the cost of the part, a historical average ratio of units per order for the part, and an average number of units of the part expected to be in the inventory for each of a plurality of expected part

service levels for the part. In order to determine the minimum unit replenishment quantity and the safety unit quantity for each part, the processor determines an expected number of fillable-from-stock orders and a slope (using critical stocking ratio for each part service level of each part. Each expected number of fillable-from-stock orders for each part is the product of the corresponding expected part service level and the ratio of the forecast unit demand for the part to the historical average ratio of units per order for the part. Each slope for each part is the ratio of the change in the corresponding expected number of fillable-from-stock orders for the part to the change in the ratio of the corresponding average number of units of the part expected to be in the inventory to the historical average ratio of units per order for the part. The processor further determines a slope, which is common to each part and for which the sum of the expected part investments for each of the parts is equal to the selected inventory investment constraint. Still further, the processor determines the minimum unit replenishment quantity and the safety unit quantity for each part, which can effect the expected part service level for each part corresponding to the determined common slope.

Page 3

Caveney fails to explicitly disclose the use of the inventory management system over a plurality of distribution points in the supply chain.

Shipman teaches that it is well known in the art to manage inventory over a plurality of distribution points (see column 2, lines 41-62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Caveney with inventory management over a plurality of Art Unit: 3627

distribution points as taught by Shipman, because tracking inventory at various points along the supply chain gives a better picture of actual inventory location and allows administrators to better plan for inventory needs.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/24/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that by failing to disclose inventory management over a plurality of distribution points in a supply chain, Caveney also fails to disclose the claimed using the critical stocking ration for each of the plurality of items to allocate the total quantity of each of the plurality of items which can be held in inventory over the forecast period. The examiner does not concur. Caveney does use a critical stocking ratio for the forecast period "among the plurality of distribution points". "Among the plurality of distribution points" means the total inventory, which Caveney does allocate using a critical stocking ratio. The claimed "assigning" and "determining" steps are shown by Shipman.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3627

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (571) 272-6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael lift 4/14/06

April 14, 2006